

Creation News Update

Proclaiming the TRUTH of the Bible starting at Genesis 1:1

PRICE: Free
VALUE: Eternal

Vol. 13, #2 - Spring 2016 (#53)

But Jesus answered, "I tell you, if these (his followers) become silent, the stones will cry out!" Luke 19:40

We must speak for silence would shame us, and the rocks themselves would cry out... You, O Lord Christ Jesus, must be praised for who You are in the world You have made.

Hello! The latest science is full of new findings that show that God, in the person of Jesus, is Creator of the universe, you and us. Thank you for joining us in learning the Good News.



Guy & Cindy Forsythe

You will find technical references for our articles at:

<http://www.CryingRocks.org>

Published Quarterly in Sedona, AZ by:

**CRYING
ROCKS**
Ministry

PO Box 2526
Sedona, AZ 86339

info@CryingRocks.org

If you would like to further the cause of our Lord Christ Jesus by supporting Crying Rocks Ministry, we invite you to send a donation of any size. Please send check or money order, not cash. All donations are tax-deductible, will be acknowledged, and a year end statement will be sent after the first of each year. Your prayers are especially appreciated. *Thanks!*

NON-PROFIT
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
SEDONA, AZ
PERMIT NO. 201
CAR-RT-SORT

Postal Customer

The Secret to Happiness and Fulfillment in Life

It has happened again! Abridging from the reporting web page, here is a sampler of what was found by the Austin Institute for the Study of Family and Culture: A strong correlation exists between religiosity and personal happiness. People who attend religious services on a weekly basis are nearly twice as likely to describe themselves as "very happy" (45%) than people who never attend (28%). Conversely, those who never worship are twice as likely to say they are



See [Happiness](#) on page 2

The Scientific Method

We all learned the scientific method in high school. Wikipedia states: **The Oxford English Dictionary defines the scientific method as "a method or procedure that has characterized natural science since the 17th century, consisting in systematic observation, measurement, and experiment, and the formulation, testing, and modifica-**



See [Method](#) on page 3

Science News to Learn By...

www.thethirdwayofevolution.com

This website is all about finding a new explanation of evolution. Why? Because, as they say on the home page: **Neo-Dar-**

winism ignores important rapid evolutionary processes such as symbiogenesis, horizontal DNA transfer, action of mobile

See [News](#) on page 4

Rapid Adaptation

Several researchers from several different institutions, working together, have a new study out that shows "Offspring of wild fish and first-generation hatchery fish differed in the activity of more than 700 genes." And "observable changes at the DNA level that were passed on to offspring"; "evidence of rapid adaptation to the hatchery environment." When they state "at the DNA level" they are NOT talking about mutations. It has been found in many studies that changes in environment result in differences in how genes are expressed, like in this case. Of course, the evolutions are shocked by how rapidly this occurred. Decades ago, Creationists were predicting that creatures would show rapid adaptation to new environments. Note: this is NOT natural selection, which would be the result of mutations. After Noah's Flood creatures would need be designed to adapt to a very different world.

The Word "Creator" in Science Paper - Yikes!

It is true. The abstract of a paper by Ming-Jin Liu et al., published on January 5, 2016 in PLOS ONE, a highly regarded scientific journal, dared to use the word "Creator."

Two months later, a militant atheist saw it and went berserk. He spread the word among his atheist friends and they too went

berserk. The paper was retracted, but can still be read on the PLOS ONE web site. Normally papers are retracted only when the science described in the paper is found to be fraudulent or distorted. That was not the case here. Normally, a retracted paper would disappear from the web site. Why

See [Yikes](#) on page 4

Happiness from page 1

“very unhappy” (4%) as those who attend services weekly (2%). Not only religious service attendance, but self-reported “religiosity” and religious “affiliation” are also linked with happiness levels. Surprisingly, higher levels of church attendance “predict higher life satisfaction.” The sample is of 15,738 Americans between the ages of 18 and 60.

It could be said that the above applies to attending any type of religious services, and it probably does to a large degree, but the wording is heavy with terms used in Christianity rather than non-Christian religions.

We have cited many studies in the past. These studies show that “religious” people, especially Christians, do better in school, are more content as adults, are better able to deal with adversity and much more. In every way, following Jesus leads to the best life you can have!

The error in the study is that they assume (as do a lot of people) that atheism is not a religion. A quick look in the dictionary and rulings by the US Supreme Court reveal that atheism is, in fact, a religion. It is a comprehensive worldview. That is a religion.

Why Doth the Atheist Rage

This leads to the question of why atheists rage against God and anyone who believes in God? If there is no God, no afterlife, then, as the atheists often proclaim, life is meaningless and irrelevant. So why so much hate toward God and Christians? If life is meaningless, as leading atheists state, then the best you can do is to be content and happy. It seems to me that if atheists really cared about the welfare of humans, they would be promoting Christianity and discouraging people from being atheists. After all, studies show atheists are the least happy.

It seems that as I read about the latest antics of atheists, they are feeling more and more desperate to convince themselves they are right. It is understandable. For example, you may have read that Christian youth are leaving the religion at

a rapid rate. Sadly, it’s true. But, did you know that the children of atheists leave their family religion at a higher rate than any other religion? They do.

Lately, atheists have been getting all in a kerfuffle because the Bible has incest acknowledged in it. It does. One of the things I love about the Bible is that it doesn’t play politics and whitewash its characters. There are several instances of incest described and in every one of them except one, it also indicates the negative consequences of the incest.

Why does the atheist care? Leading atheist Richard Dawkins has stated that there is no right or wrong, good or evil. If life is meaningless, he is correct. So, atheists have to borrow from the Bible to determine if something is right or wrong. Atheists are truly lost.

The one case of incest where no consequence is mentioned is the fact that Adam and Eve had children with no one to marry except their brothers and sisters. Marrying a sibling was declared wrong by God in the Law given to Moses. So, if God didn’t declare it wrong before the Law, what had happened that it needed to be declared wrong at that time?

Mutations. God’s laws are always for our benefit. The children of Adam and Eve had virtually no mutations so there was no health risk. By the time of

can progress?” If you believe in evolution, that should have been your highest hope. Yet, no evolutionist can point to a single mutation and say, “This is great! Everyone should get this mutation.” On the other hand, the government data base of mutations (see below left) that cause health issues, such as death, shows over 141,000 researched dangerous mutations and as you can read in the abstract, the number is increasing by 10,000 per year! Though the abstract WAY overstates the actual situation, can a “rational” person really believe that mutations can ever possibly be a great thing!!!!???



Isaac Newton

No wonder that the greatest scientist who ever lived, Isaac Newton, said, **“Opposition to godliness is atheism in profession and idolatry in practice. Atheism is so senseless and odious to mankind that it never had many professors.”**

Atheists like to call themselves “free thinkers” and “rational rather than religious.” I must admit they are free thinkers. They can look at the data base of dangerous human mutations and think that somehow mutations are a wonderful thing. I guess “free thinker” is the polite way of saying “majorly not thinking rationally.” Some atheists say that religions need to be scrutinized rather than blindly accepted. Obviously, they are correct.

By the way, what happened to all-powerful **Natural Selection**, one of the gods of atheism? Evolutionists tell us that natural selection removes the bad mutations and keeps the good. Where is the data base of all the wonderful mutations? There isn’t one, for good reason. It is time for atheists to do a critique of their own religion instead of preaching that we **happy, content** Christians need to critique ours.

God, in the person of Jesus, is the creator of the originally un-mutated, Adam and Eve, the universe, you and me.



Moses, humans had acquired many mutations, making it dangerous for siblings to have children together. Today the number of mutations has grown and it is illegal in most countries for siblings to marry. In fact, 1st cousins are at high risk now.

If you have children, when you were expecting, did you think, “I sure hope my child has lots of mutations so evolution

Method from page 1

tion of hypotheses. There is exactly one area of science that is 100% based on the scientific method. **Physics.** Chemistry is a large sub-set of physics. As Earnest Retherford, the father of nuclear physics stated: **All science is either physics or stamp collecting.** Why would he say that? Because only physics is subject to the scientific method. Only in physics can you perform “**systematic observation, measurement, and experiment, and the formulation, testing, and modification of hypotheses.**”

When the scientific method is followed, the result is an hypothesis that is based on a mathematical expression. It can be tested by repeated experiments because the results of an experiment are mathematical and can be compared to the hypothesis. As a result, laws of science are only found in physics. The only law that seems, at first glance, to be outside of physics is the law of biogenesis which states that life can only come from life. This law is also stated as *life does not arise from nonliving materials.* Interestingly, the laws of chemistry have shown it is a valid law based on the scientific method.

Some other sciences also have aspects of physics. For example, in geology, it was thought that big boulders in a flooding stream were rolled along the bottom of the creek bed. Forces rolling boulders is physics.

But one must beware. Though tumbling boulders is physics, it turns out the reason given for the transport of large boulders was NOT repeated testing and observation. It was assumed to be true. Then a geologist got lucky and actually observed boulders being transported in a flood that seemly was too small to move such a large boulder. For the first time there was observation. It turns out, after analyzing the dynamic of that particular flood, that large boulders are actually floating on the top of the flood! Amazing. Who would have thought? Suddenly the explanation for how large boulders are transported in a flood went from an untested hypothesis to an observed event that generated a new hypothesis that has

been confirmed by observation and mathematical calculations.


A lot of science happens that way. There is nothing “unscientific” about it. But it shows that we have to be careful in accepting so-called “facts” of science with the reality that most of it is not actually fact, but reasonable speculation.... Reasonable based on the starting worldview of the scientist.

For physics, Wikipedia shows an excellent flow chart to illustrate the process (Figure at bottom this column):


The problem is that there is a lot of speculation in science. For example, in September 2014, a study on what happens during a volcano eruption was released. Here is the first sentence of the study: **In the typical textbook picture, volcanoes, such as those that are forming the Hawaiian islands, erupt when magma gushes out as narrow jets from deep inside Earth. But that picture is wrong, according to a new study from researchers at Caltech and the University of Miami in Florida.** We now have the ability to actually (indirectly) observe the process.

The third paragraph is the really interesting one: **“Mantle plumes have never had a sound physical or logical basis,” Anderson says. “They are akin to Rudyard Kipling’s ‘Just So Stories’ about how giraffes got their long necks.”**

The Scientific Method as it is Supposed to be Done



The Way Science is Actually Done (Changes in Red)



What? The old theory was unsupported by the laws of physics and logic! It is amazing how often this is the conclusion of a new discovery. Notice the old idea is called a “just so story.” In other words, it had no basis in investigation, it was a guess. A good guess, but a guess nonetheless. A LOT of science is this way. The reason is that much science is an attempt to explain what happened in the past. Those explanation tend to be “just so stories.”

In the case where worldview is at stake, such as evolution and age of the earth, The scientific method must be altered. Here is how it really works:

The result of establishing an ad hoc axiom is that it is based on religious belief, not scientific investigation. And, it becomes an unalterable axiom. The axiom is assumed to be true, therefore all data must fit the axiom. The result is “just so stories.” For example, we spent the last four issues explaining how the scientific method applied to data shows that the Coconino Formation was deposited rapidly in water. It CANNOT be published because it violates the axiom. If the data fit a local flood, it would fit the axiom, but it would also be a “just-so story.” The data shows a flood event that covers at least half a continent. The data simply cannot be fitted to the axiom, therefore, the data will be ignored. Welcome to the reality of “science.”

God, in the person of Jesus, is the creator of the laws of science, the universe, you and me. CRM

News from page 1
DNA and epigenetic modifications. Moreover, some Neo-Darwinists have elevated Natural Selection into a unique creative force that solves all the difficult evolutionary problems without a real empirical basis. That is why Neo-Darwinian evolutionists will not talk about the “science” of evolution. It is irrational.

Eye De-Vovled

Sciencedaily.com reports on a new paper. It shows just how ridiculous evolution “just-so” stories have become. In public, evolutionists are confident in saying natural selection can do anything. In their published journals it is a different situation. Here are some startling quotes from the paper: *Some biological structures are*

too dizzyingly complex to have emerged stepwise by adding one part and then the next over time, intelligent design advocates say. ... For the majority of scientists, the standard response is to point to simpler versions of supposedly ‘irreducibly complex’ structures that exist in nature today, such as cup eyes in flatworms. (Note: Even if this worked, which it does not, they still need to explain the origin of the simpler organ. They don’t because they can’t.) *...Instead of emerging by gradually and incrementally adding new genes, cells, tissues or organs over time, what if some so-called ‘irreducibly complex’ structures came to be by gradually losing parts, becoming simpler and more streamlined? ...They call the principle ‘complexity by subtraction.’...*

Instead of building up bit by bit from simple to complex, you start complex and then winnow out the unnecessary parts, refining them and making them more efficient as you go. So, instead of not being able to explain the origin of the original simple organ, they have to explain the origin of a super-organ that got better by becoming simpler. How did this get published? Evolution is one long “just-do” story. Remember how the male peacock feathers became beautiful? The girls were attracted to them rather than the males with plain feathers. Except an experiment showed this story is false. What more can we say? Garbage assumption, garbage stories. CRM




Yikes from page 1

not this time? Because it is an excellent paper, full of great, factual, accurate information and conclusions. The paper is about their study into how to make the best robotic hand to imitate a human hand. They included the complex information and structural systems we call our hand. Not only are there control circuits in the human hand, there are feedback circuits too. Within the paper, there is no mention of a Creator, it appears only as a word in the abstract.

Perhaps if they had said creator (lower case “c”) instead of Creator (upper case “C”) it would have been OK. Or if they had said “human Creator,” perhaps that would have been OK. Probably not. Atheists simply go nuts when any mention

of a creator appears in any science.

It has absolutely nothing to do with science, it has to do with the atheists’ hate of God. This craziness is readily seen in the United States and to a lesser degree in Europe. In China they believe in letting



scientists speak what they think. This is why, at the 1999 conference indicated in the graphic to the left, when Jun-Yuan Chen, a Chinese paleontolo-

gist who is an acknowledged expert on the Cambrian explosion, stated that the fossil record indicates top-down evolution (an accurate statement) rather than bottom-up evolution (the position held by Darwinian evolution), big name evolution atheists went into an outrage. It further enraged them when they realized that several proponents of Intelligent Design were among the featured speakers. Chen commented: *In China we can criticize Darwin, but not the government; in America, you can criticize the government, but not Darwin.* The axiom (assumed truth) of evolution may not be confronted with the reality of data. It is as simple as that.

God, in the person of Jesus the Christ, is the creator of the human hand, atheists, the universe, you and me. CRM

QUOTE:

God condescended to argue with Job, but the last Darwinian will not condescend to argue with you. He will inform you of your ignorance; he will not enlighten your ignorance.

And I will add this point of merely personal experience of humanity: when men have a real explanation they explain it, eagerly and copiously and in common speech, as Huxley freely gave it when he thought he had it. When they have no explanation to offer, they give short dignified replies, disdainful of the ignorance of the multitude.

Gilbert Keith (G. K.) Chesterton (1874--1936) *The Illustrated London News* July 17 1920 p. 90

A theory that you can't explain to a bartender is probably no damn good.

Ernest Retherford, Father of nuclear physics

POINT:

Things haven't changed. 15 years ago, most atheists I discussed creation science with would engage in lively discussion. Lately, it has not been that way. Most now just mock, ridicule and call names. The main reason is that I have been studying their theories quite intently since I retired from a full-time job. They cannot counter my arguments. With what we have learned about genetics in the past 15 years, they simply have no arguments. Before, they argued from lack of knowledge applied to their axioms. Now the studies are being done which show the assumptions made because of lack of knowledge are false. They see that science is against their myths. Praise Jesus! I have a real explanation. I will explain it (as I do in this newsletter) eagerly and copiously with words you can understand. Please, e-mail me!