

Creation News Update

Proclaiming the TRUTH of the Bible starting at Genesis 1:1

PRICE: Free
VALUE: Eternal

Vol. 13, #4 - Fall 2016 (#55)

But Jesus answered, "I tell you, if these (his followers) become silent, the stones will cry out!" Luke 19:40

We must speak for silence would shame us, and the rocks themselves would cry out... You, O Lord Christ Jesus, must be praised for who You are in the world You have made.

Hello! The latest science is full of new findings that show that God, in the person of Jesus, is Creator of the universe, you and us. Thank you for joining us in learning the Good News.



Guy & Cindy Forsythe

You will find technical references for our articles at:
<http://www.CryingRocks.org>

Published Quarterly in Sedona, AZ by:



PO Box 2526
Sedona, AZ 86339

info@CryingRocks.org

If you would like to further the cause of our Lord Christ Jesus by supporting Crying Rocks Ministry, we invite you to send a donation of any size. Please send check or money order, not cash. All donations are tax-deductible, will be acknowledged, and a year end statement will be sent after the first of each year. Your prayers are especially appreciated. *Thanks!*

Postal Customer

NON-PROFIT
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
SEDONA, AZ
PERMIT NO. 201
CAR-RT-SORT

Can Evolution be Falsified?

Every scientist knows that when a new hypothesis is presented, the scientist should also provide a way to falsify the hypothesis. Falsification is the machinery of science. If a theory cannot be falsified, then it actually describes nothing because any and all data can be accommodated.

Several tests have been offered by scientists that could falsify evolution. Darwin, being a good scientist, provided two tests in his book on evolution. Let's take a look at those two tests.



See **False** on page 2

Evolution is Falsified by DNA

Before we get to DNA, let us point out a couple more evolution-ary theories that have been falsified. 100 years ago, evolution-ists claimed that 100 organs in the human body do nothing and were leftovers of evolution. This was entered as evidence in the Scopes Trial. Medical research was slowed by this false pronouncement. We now know that every organ has a role in survival.



See **DNA** on page 3

Science News to Learn By...

Signals from Space

It was just announced that radio signals in an unusual pattern have been discovered coming from a distant planetary system. Is

it a signal from an intelligent civilization? Don't get excited until the data is confirmed and analyzed. By next newsletter, we will know a lot more. Hang on until then. CRM

Do The (DNA) Math

Evolutionists like to say there is only a 2% difference between human and chimp DNA. The first studies done indicate this fact, but they were very limited in the sample size. Now we know that it is true there is less than a 2% difference, but only in 2% of our DNA. That 2% is 2/3 of protein coding DNA. This is not unexpected. Basic cell construction is the same from single celled organisms through humans. But, in 1/3 of protein coding, the difference is huge. Also, chimps have many proteins/genes humans do not have and vice versa. The other 97% is at least 23% different. 20% of the 3 billion DNA letters is a whopping 620,000,000 differences. Evolutionists think chimps and humans came from a common ancestor about 6 million years ago. That would require 1000 positive mutations every generation. The current rate is 60 per generation and none are good and many are bad, even deadly.

Is Evolution a Religion?

Evolution certainly acts like a religion. Actually, more like a cult. Even false religions usually have some connection to reality. Evolution has no connection to reality, at least not scientific reality.

For every problem found with evolution, the evolutionists have one of two


See **Religion** on page 4



False from page 1

The first test is in his statement: *"If it could be demonstrated that any complex organ existed, which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications, my theory would absolutely break down."*

Does any such organ exist? Creationists give the example of the eye. Keep in mind that evolutionists originally thought the eye appeared once and then evolved into the many types we see today. But then, when they put together their Tree-Of-Life, they discovered the eye had to evolve from nothing over 60 times! The evolutionist gives the following graphic to explain what happened (over 60 times):



Notice the first step at the upper left. There is a lot that is unexplained and unexplainable.

1 How did a cell become sensitive to light? It would take not just thousands of mutations all working together, it would take the creation of many *new* genes. And genes do nothing unless they are promoted (activated) by a signal from so-called junk DNA and then regulated so they don't do too little or too much. It all has to be there at the same time, all 60+ times!!!

2 How did the nerve get there? What an amazing coincidence! A nerve

just happens to grow between the brain and this light sensitive cell at exactly the same time the cell becomes sensitive to light. 60+ times!

3 Well, that was the easy part of the evolving of the eye. The light sensitive cell has to also be able to place a coded message on the nerve, the nerve has to know to transmit the code, and the brain has to know there is a new code coming and what it means. This is a complete communication system. There are just three problems with this idea. First, the laws of physics forbid a naturally occurring communication system. Second, nobody has ever observed a naturally occurring communication system come into existence. Third, every known communication system was designed and constructed by an intelligent agent.

I won't continue with absurdity of a lens system developing. The eye falsifies evolution just like Darwin feared.

Darwin also wrote: *"Why then is not every geological formation and every stratum full of such intermediate links? Geology assuredly does not reveal any such finely graduated organic chain; and this, perhaps, is the most obvious and gravest objection which can be urged against my theory. The explanation lies, as I believe, in the extreme imperfection of the geological record."*

It has been 150 years since that statement and the record is very clear now. There are FEWER supposed intermediates than there were when he wrote his book. In Darwin's time, the horse and whale series were thought to be transitional records. The transitions are not "finely graduated." The whale series consisted of four fossils going from land animal to whale, HUGE steps. But, even evolutionists agree that one of the four steps is not really a step at all. As for the horse series, it turns out it was like having German Shepherds and Labrador Retrievers alive at the same time. The difference was in the toes and it was not a progression. All toe types lived at the same time.

Darwin set the criteria for falsification, and both criteria show that his theory is false.

J.B.S. Haldane proposed that finding fossil rabbits in the Precambrian era would falsify Evolution. That is a bit extreme, but out-of-order fossils do exist. For example, modern birds have been found with the earliest dinosaurs, the creatures from which they supposedly evolved.

The Ashley phosphate beds in Ashley, SC had a tremendous number of fossils including whales, toads, crocodiles, alligators, turtles, rabbits, monkeys, horses, elephants, mammoths, mastodon, dogs, hardrosur dinosaurs, iguanodon dinosaurs, plesiosaurs, ichthyosaurs, human bones, human teeth, human artifacts and **many** more types of creatures. These were precisely documented by the state geologist before the beds were all dug up for fertilizer. In his diary, the geologist wrote that when he found the first human bone, he got so mad he threw it in the river. It didn't belong with dinosaurs!

Evolutionists explain this problem away (notice they admit the fossils are found together) by saying the bones were actually in different strata. The rock around them eroded away and then they were transported to the phosphate beds.

But that explanation doesn't work. These were phosphate beds. The bones were not mineralized, it was still original bone material. Bones will erode much, much faster than the rock strata they were supposedly eroded from.

A good theory must be falsifiable. Every criteria for falsifying evolution has been met. Evolution has been falsified by the classification criteria established by evolutionists. That the evolutionist will not abide by their own criteria shows that evolution has nothing to do with science. Evolution is scientifically falsified, but the religious faithful will not accept any falsification, which means evolution is un-falsifiable, to them, and therefore not a scientific theory. It is a religious myth and nothing more. The next story has more!

God, in the person of Jesus, is the creator of the eye, the different kinds of critters, the universe, you and me. CRM

DNA from page 1

Then we were told that 97% of our DNA is leftover junk from evolution. There may be some junk DNA somewhere, but in humans it appears there is none. The “junk” DNA is the computer operating system that keeps the cells working. In fact, there are a few regulators in the “junk” DNA that control the rate of cell reproduction. When that regulator is mutated, it stops working and the result is runaway cell replication... Cancer. How many lives were lost because researchers were told not to waste time looking at “junk” DNA? From the first pronouncement of “junk” DNA, creationists predicted that we would find that the “junk” is the control system. **Creationists made the accurate prediction.**

It was predicted by evolutionists that we would be able to build the Tree-Of-Life from DNA. The DNA would give the real data that would allow us to figure out exactly what evolved from what. All we have to do is look at the DNA that codes for proteins and the relationships will be obvious. In fact, if evolution is true, this is absolutely required. Not surprisingly, it turns out that different proteins give different relationships, which means there is no relationship. We do find the relationship within created kinds, such as dogs, cats, bears, etc. This **EXACTLY** fits the creationist prediction and falsifies evolution.


Once again, believers in evolution show that they will not be scientific... Their myth must be kept. Otherwise, *GASP*, there is a Creator God to whom we must answer for our sins. I have a shocker for evolutionists. Denying the existence of God does not in any way affect Him. He Exists. Judgement will happen.

A few years ago, (Winter 2013) we discussed the supposed fusion of chromosome 2 in human DNA in detail. This is supposedly the smoking gun that shows we are related to chimps. Let’s check out the gun. Because of the possible fusion event, this area of human chromosome 2 has been studied in great detail. It turns out to be evolu-

tionists’ smoke (no gun) and nothing more (well, smoke and mirrors actually) but let’s look at the details.

Chromosome 2 Fusion


Humans have 46 chromosomes, chimps have 48. In the graphic to the right, you can see that human chromosome 2 is long, while the chimp has two shorter chromosomes that together are approximately the length of human chromosome 2. It appears (to an evolutionist) that human chromosome 2 is a fusion of two shorter chromosomes. In addition, at the point of the possible fusion, humans have what appears to be the previous ends of chromosomes.... At first glance. When this was discovered, in 1991 evolutionists saw this as great evidence of chimps and humans being related genetically and the sound bite of “chromosome 2 fusion” proves we are directly related to chimps started being used by evolutionists. If this were really a fusion, it still wouldn’t actually prove that the two are related, but it would raise some serious questions. Much research has been done in recent



years. Though the sound bite is still used by evolutionists, scientific research shows this famous sound bite is a total myth.


Because this fusion point has gained so much attention, lots of researchers have focused on the supposed fusion point, mostly in hopes of showing it is indeed a fusion point (that will get you the next research grant). Let’s review what the research has found.

In the figure to below, notice that there is a misalignment. **First**, the ends of chro-




mosomes have a telomere. A telomere is the sequence of **TTAGGG** repeated thousands of times. With each cell division, the telomeres of each chromosome get shorter. They are extra long to make sure there is always a good length of telomere left after a lifetime of divisions. Therefore, there should be two long telomeres at the fusion point. They are not there. Also, if this is a fusion site, it is totally different from *known* fusion sites in mammals. In other words, it probably is not a fusion site. **Second**, there is a 10% difference (24,000,000 nucleotide bases, or DNA letters) in the length of the chimp/human chromosomes. **Third**, the active DNA to the sides of the supposed fusion site are very different in chimps and humans. If this is a fusion site, they should be at least somewhat similar. And, it should be noted, in both humans and chimps, the nearby DNA is very active and quite functional. It is not “junk” DNA. **Fourth**, the supposed fusion site is right in the middle of a sequence of DNA that is the template for constructing a gene known as DDX11L2. Originally called a “pseudogene” because evolutionists assumed this gene is leftover junk from evolution, DDX11L2 has been found to be an important regulator for over 50 processes in 255 different human cell types. Remove the regulator and you will be dead in minutes. There are no known examples of regulators (there are 10,000s regulators) which has coding separated by being part on one chromosome and


DNA from page 1



DNA from page 3

part on another chromosome. **Fifth**, the graphic (repeated below) includes centromeres. Those are special sequences of DNA, generally found near the center of a



chromosome, which are important during cell division. The “cryptic centromere” in the graphic is where we should find a centromere if the fusion exists. There is no centromere. Actual centromeres are denoted like this: 

responses. The most common response is “but it *could* happen.” It does not matter if the idea violates the laws of physics, they see possibility. Well, the return of Christ *could* happen. And, I firmly believe Christ will return. But I don’t make the claim from science, I make the claim from eyewitness testimony and the fact that many who walked with Jesus were willing to die by horrible means rather than deny Christ. Would you expect 100% participation in horrible means of death to perpetuate a myth that would do you no good once you die that horrible death? And, if you simply said, “I deny Christ,” there would be no immediate death.

Human chromosome 2 fusion *could* have happened. But there is no data supporting the idea and eight lines of data that indicate it did not happen. Science goes with data. Evolutionists go with hope there is no God. That is a big-time losing proposition.

Since our original article, research has shown: **Sixth**, that there are repeating patterns of DNA (a telomere is a repeating pattern) except the pattern is not the same as a telomere. **Seventh**, Chromosome fusions have been documented in other species, but, fusions have not been found that are end to end like the supposed human fusion. **Eighth**, centromeres are species specific. The repeating pattern is different in different species. The cryptic centromere looks nothing like a chimp centromere, but it does look like many other places in human DNA that are NOT centromeres.

In just under three years, three more research projects have shown that there

My very favorite is the slowness of evolution so we cannot see it. It takes millions of years for just a small change. Until the eye appears in different forms over 60 times in just a few million years. Then evolution is fast.

Related is the fact that the nerve and eye supposedly appeared at exactly the same time. How could that happen? Well, I am told, evolution is constantly experimenting. There were probably lots of times when the nerve and light sensitive cell did not appear at the same time. Evolution is constantly making new things. If they don’t work, which is most of the time because random mutations seldom result in something worthwhile, natural selection removes the attempt. Then I ask, if evolution is *constantly experimenting* then why has no scientist ever found a partial new organ or a nerve to nowhere in any creature, ever? Self contradiction runs rampant in evolutionary theory

is no fusion in human chromosome 2. Famous evolutionist Kenneth Miller has accurately stated that if there is no fusion on human chromosome 2, evolution is falsified. Evolution is falsified. There is no data to support the idea of a fusion and eight lines of evidence that show it is not a fusion.

Click the link on our home page for links to studies. Be sure to look at all the references used for the paper. They are almost all from secular researchers. We are giving THEIR findings.

God, in the person of Jesus, is the creator of the chimp kind, the human kind, the universe, you and me. [CRM](#)

As a Christian I gladly admit I don’t have all the answers regarding how or why God does the things as He does. I wouldn’t want a God *I* could fully comprehend. But, I don’t think I give two diametrically opposed answers to the same question based on how the question is phrased. Either evolution is constantly working or evolution does not work, yet there is no evidence of this constant working so evolution only goes to work occasionally, but that doesn’t work either.

Indeed, evolutionists want evolution to be both science and a religion. It is an outright substitute religion for Christianity. The religious goal is to eliminate Christianity and become the religion of the masses. In the end, it has simply become an anti-Christianity cult devoid of science.

God, in the person of Jesus the Christ, is the only true God, Creator of the universe, you and me. [CRM](#)

QUOTE:

‘Evolution is promoted by its practitioners as more than mere science. Evolution is promulgated as an ideology, a secular religion—a full-fledged alternative to Christianity, with meaning and morality. I am an ardent evolutionist and an ex-Christian, but I must admit that in this one complaint—and Mr [sic] Gish is but one of many to make it—the literalists are absolutely right. Evolution is a religion. This was true of evolution in the beginning, and it is true of evolution still today.

‘... Evolution therefore came into being as a kind of secular ideology, an explicit substitute for Christianity.’

Michael Ruse, professor of philosophy and zoology at the University of Guelph, Canada

POINT:

Even ardent evolutionist Michael Ruse admits that evolution is designed as a religion. It is designed to specifically refute Christianity. After all, if evolution is true, then Adam and Eve did not bring death into the world because millions of creatures were in fossil form under their feet in the Garden of Eden. If that were true, then the death of Jesus was irrelevant and we are all doomed to final death at the end of our present lives. Praise God evolution is a false religion, nothing more, nothing less. It certainly does not have any relationship to science.