

Creation News Update

Proclaiming the TRUTH of the Bible starting at Genesis 1:1

Vol. 9, #3 - Summer 2012 (#38)

PRICE: Free
VALUE: Eternal

We must speak for silence would shame us, and the rocks themselves would cry out... You, O Lord Christ Jesus, must be praised for who You are in the world You have made.

Hello! The latest science is full of new findings that show that God, in the person of Jesus, is Creator of the universe, you and us. Thank you for joining us in learning the Good News.



Guy & Cindy Forsythe

You will find technical references for our articles at:

<http://www.CryingRocks.org>

Published Quarterly in Sedona, AZ by:

**CRYING
ROCKS**
Ministry

PO Box 2526
Sedona, AZ 86339

928-282-2433

info@CryingRocks.org


If you would like to further the cause of our Lord Christ Jesus by supporting Crying Rocks Ministry, we invite you to send a donation of any size. Please send check or money order, not cash. All donations are tax-deductible, will be acknowledged, and a year end statement will be sent after the first of each year. Your prayers are especially appreciated. *Thanks!*

NON-PROFIT
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
SEDONA, AZ
PERMIT NO. 201
CAR-RT-SORT

Postal Customer

Magnetic Fields Update

If you have been following our newsletter, you know that magnetic fields are a huge indicator that the earth and solar system are very young, about 6000 years old. I have naturalists that accuse me of data mining (a logical fallacy where one ignores evidence contrary to his/her cause) or looking only at anomalies (which is similar to data mining). I find it frustrating and funny at the same time. ALL the data points to young magnetic fields



See Magnetic on page 3

How Science is Done

We were all taught the *Scientific Method* in high school. The graphic to the right illustrates the scientific method. A hypothesis is formulated and then experiments are performed to see if the hypothesis is correct. It is an excellent system. But, it only works for basic physics and chemistry. Testing requires



See Science on page 2

Science News to Learn By...

Creation Vs Naturalism

Crying Rocks Ministry president, Guy Forsythe, will once again be teaching a creation class at OLLI this fall. The class is from 9:00 to 10:30 am every Wednesday from Sept. 26 to Oct. 31. Sign up when your OLLI catalog comes in the mail. Hope to see you there!

Galaxy Surprise

Naturalists have assumed that large galaxies are the result of colliding small galaxies combining. Now our telescopes have taken their first detailed look at the center of a galaxy. We'll just quote *New Scientist* and the researchers: Observers had *misidentified* the bright concentration of stars...

See News on page 2

The Moon Just Cannot Be Explained

Naturalists are fully aware that based on the amount the moon moves away from the earth each year, it cannot be over 1.25 billion years old. They persist on insisting it is several billion years old, but acknowledge it could have not been orbiting our planet since earth came into existence. Several theories have been put forth over the years to explain the moon, each false theory proclaimed as fact until a better theory came along. The latest is that another planet, they name Theia, crashed into the earth and the resulting debris became the moon. That lunar rocks have the same oxygen isotope content as the earth hurts the theory. Now it has been published in *Nature Geoscience* that titanium isotopes also are a perfect match. The authors conclude that the Theia theory is another theory that has failed. But you will only hear the whole story from us.

Isaiah 9:10 - Does History Repeat Itself?

The bricks have fallen down,
But we will rebuild with smooth stones;
The sycamores have been cut down,
But we will replace them with cedars.

The day after 9/11, these words were spoken by then Senate Majority Leader Tom Daschle. He properly gave the refer-

ence as Isaiah 9:10. In Isaiah's time, it referred to the fact that the Assyrians had attacked Israel, tearing down cities and the sycamore trees. The response from Israel was that they would rebuild the cities with quarried stones, replace the weak sycamore

See History on page 4

Science from page 1

that the experiments be repeated. Only experiments in basic chemistry and physics can be repeated. Only basic physics and chemistry make detailed, specific predictions. They are mathematically based, so there is a mathematical expression that describes what to expect. Data can be plugged into the expression and the math gives us the expected results. We then look at actual results and see if they match the hypothesis. If a hypothesis or theory cannot be expressed as a mathematical expression, it cannot be tested.

Falsification is an important part of the scientific method. If the experiments we perform give results that don't fit the mathematical expression, then the expression is incorrect... It has been falsified. We need to start over.

Nobody can do an experiment of creating a sun or the universe. Nobody can do an experiment that will tell us how the Grand Canyon was carved. Evolution makes no predictions. Evolutionists tell us evolution is as solid as the law of gravity. No physicist will ever say that the law of gravity is as solid as the theory of evolution. The law of gravity makes predictions that have been experimentally tested millions of times. Evolution makes no predictions and cannot be tested. There is no experiment that can test evolution theory. We have often asked naturalists to describe one experiment that can test evolution. So far... No examples.

So, let's see how science really works when we discuss geology, evolution, cosmology... All the sciences that are not 100% testable physics or chemistry. We will use the earth's magnetic field as our primary example.

First comes the underlying assumption. The naturalist assumes the sun

and earth are 4.3 billion years old. The creationist assumes the sun and earth are 6000 years old. The naturalist model is the prevailing model so the assumptions of old age are used to figure out why things are as they. So far, so good. This is the only way we could come to any conclusions. We have to start with a logical, rational set of assumptions. Naturalism is logical and rational BUT only if the starting assumption of old age is correct. If that assumption is not correct, every conclusion based on the assumption is probably also incorrect.

The nebular hypothesis is the assumed model for planet and star formation and is a huge part of the Big Bang model. Once again, reasonable so far based on the starting assumptions. The model for the sun's magnetic field was the rational starting place for explaining magnetic fields for reasons of physics which we won't get into here. Those physics principles were extrapolated to explain all magnetic fields in planets and moons. The physics with planets and moons is not nearly as certain, but still, it is a reasonable way to go.

Back to the sun... The magnetic field of the sun was measured by early space probes. Based on the assumption that the sun is billions of years old, and the correlating assumption that the Big Bang and nebular hypothesis are correct, and knowing the strength and other characteristics of the sun's magnetic field, a model of the movement of plasma gasses beneath the surface of the sun was developed. That model was then extended to other planets and moons. Based on the models, certain predictions could be made. So far, pretty good scientific analysis.

Some of those predictions are how fast a magnetic field can decrease or increase in strength. It also predicts

attach to the coxa (equivalent to a hip). A weevil has a screw and nut joint similar to a ball and socket, like mammals. This gives the weevil a huge advantage in finding footholds. So, why is the weevil the ONLY insect to have this type of joint? Why did the feature die out if it is better? Why don't we find it in the ancestors? Because it was created uniquely for the weevil.

the strength of magnetic fields in planets and moons. These are logical predictions based on good science.

But, now we have something we never had before. For most of the history of modern science, assumptions have held sway and they simply could not be tested. The advent of extremely powerful computers, and as a result extremely precise measuring technology, has changed that situation. Over the past dozen years or so, things that were far beyond possible to measure have become measurable, including factors regarding the magnetic field of the sun, planets and moons. As the *Magnetic Field Update* article in this issue and previous articles on magnetic fields have shown, the data does not fit the nebular hypothesis model. The model does not even come close. It is WAY OFF.

On the other had, the creation theory of magnetic fields in the sun, planets and moons FITS PERFECTLY WITH THE DATA. We have never seen any model outside laws of basic physics and chemistry with such an incredible accuracy rate... 100%. Every time new data becomes available, the creation model is confirmed and the big bang nebular hypothesis is shown to be false.

But, you will notice that nobody is calling for a change in the basic assumption that has now been shown to be incorrect. People do not want to deal with the reality of our created universe because that means there is a Creator and that Creator has let us know through the Bible that our lives will be judged. We have good news and bad news... Denial does not change reality.

God, in the person of Jesus Christ, has shown us the undisputable data that shows the earth is young. Come on, enjoy the fantastic freedom to love God! CRM

(Another) Moon Surprise

Earth's supposed collision with Theia (see next page) has suffered yet another blow. The collision would have vaporized all the water. According to naturalist theory, there should be no water on the moon. But, moon rocks have the same water concentration as the mantle of the earth. As usual, the theory and the data just don't fit with each other. CRM

News from page 1

oddly pristine (doesn't fit with collisions)... *something of a headache... nagging doubts are creeping in... too perfect... Galaxies are complicated and we don't really understand how they form. It's really an embarrassment.* Wrong starting assumptions result in poor science.

Weevil Surprise

Most insects have a hinge where the legs

Magnetic from page 1

throughout the solar system, from the sun to planets to moons.

In fact, the prevailing creationist explanation of magnetic fields by Russell Humphreys fits every single case, has made accurate predictions, and even predicted how fast the fields are dying out.

Humphreys has scored 100% in his predictions while the naturalists percentage has dropped below 50%. It would appear that the naturalists are doing the data mining and pointing to anomalies when they contend that their model of magnetic fields is the correct one.

It just got worse for the naturalists (and even better for Humphreys' model) times 4... The sun, earth, moon and Mercury .

Moon: First, rocks brought back by Apollo astronauts in the 1970s indicate how strong the moon's magnetic field was at one time in the past. Remember the naturalists' model predicted there is no way the moon could ever have had a magnetic field to start with. Also remember that the earth's magnetic field has decreased 28% in the past 1000 years and is continuing to decrease at a rate of 50% every 1500 years. The earth's magnetic field was obviously much stronger in the not so distant past. *Nature* recently published two articles attempting to explain the "unexplainable." The first article theorizes that the fluids in the moon's core were stirred up when the moon was much closer to the earth in the past. There are two problems with this account. The first is that according to the nebular hypothesis, the naturalists' basis of explaining the formation of stars, planets and moons, the moon never had a liquid core. In addition, the planet Theia hypothesis for moon formation (see page 1) would expressly forbid a molten core. Second, it means the moon was once extremely close to the earth, an idea naturalist astronomers and cosmologists abhor because we creationists claim that, based on the regression (moving farther from earth each year) of the moon, it could not be older than 1.25 billion years old. Naturalists deny the



moon was ever close. The second article suggests that meteorites stirred up the core. But, we see no evidence of meteorite impacts large enough to do so, not to mention (again) there was never a liquid core. Yet, the magnetic field of the moon was once stronger than the magnetic field of the earth is today according to measurements of the Apollo rocks.

The core of the moon is much smaller than earth's and the rotation of the moon is much slower than earth's. Based on the nebular hypothesis (a huge part of the big bang theory) the moon could never have a magnetic field even if the core was liquid. The physics doesn't work.

Mercury: As we have reported previously, Humphreys predicted that Mercury's magnetic field would decrease 5% in 25 years. The *MESSENGER* space probe confirmed the prediction. The naturalists were smart... They made no prediction. That way they could not be wrong again. But, it turns out that Humphreys actually underestimated the decrease. It was actually closer to 8%. Humphreys based his calculation on NASA's information on the size of Mercury's core. Based on *MESSENGER* data, NASA has adjusted their estimate of the size of Mercury's core, now saying it is 85% of the diameter of the planet. Plugging the new size of Mercury's core into the Humphreys model results in an 8% decrease. Humphreys nails it again.



Earth: According to *Nature*, it has been calculated that the earth's core is much more conductive than was assumed in the past. This presents a huge problem for the nebular hypothesis and therefore the Big Bang. The naturalists have a tough time explaining how earth's magnetic field could last billions of years. This new data of less resistance and more conductivity of the earth's core makes the longevity virtually impossible. The reason is that less resistance means the supposed electric current producing the core would short out and die much faster than expected. There simply isn't enough oomph (that's a technical term) to the supposed dynamo



effect to overcome the short circuit. The nebular theory and Big Bang take another huge hit. The nebular theory not only is way off in predicting the magnetic fields of other planets and moons, now it doesn't even fit with the structure of the earth.

Sun: The sun's magnetic field is the basis for the nebular theory of magnetic field production. It was thought that gasses circulating around in the sun are traveling at different speeds and the contact point between the layers traveling at different speeds would produce a magnetic field. This idea was extrapolated to rocky planets, such as the earth, where it is believed there is a solid core and liquid circulating against the core. Naturalists think the circulating is creating the magnetic field. Please note that we are not saying the earth's core is not solid with liquid around it. Data and the laws of physics suggest that such a condition does exist.



But, once again, the nebular hypothesis, and therefore the big bang, have taken another big hit. Researchers at New York University have published their research indicating the motions of the sun's interior plasma. As is usually the case, the theory for the sun's magnetic field was based on the assumption that the sun is billions of years old. The assumptions were wrong. The speed at which plasma below the surface of the sun moves is 100 times slower than assumed. The scientists say it better than we could: *If these motions are indeed that slow in the Sun, then the most widely accepted theory concerning the generation of solar magnetic field is broken, leaving us with no compelling theory to explain its generation of magnetic fields...*

Naturalists keep repeating the false mantra... "The data continues to build, proving naturalism." I guess they have said it enough to talk themselves into believing it. The reality is that naturalist assumptions are continually being shown wrong by scientific research and data. The theories, based on helpful but false assumptions, are wrong. Here is the theory that the data supports stronger every day: God, in the person of Christ Jesus, is the Creator of the universe, you and me. CRM

History continued from p. 1

trees with stronger cedars. They were claiming that they would rebuild and be stronger than before. It seems like a bold and positive statement. It is. Daschle repeated the words 3000 years later.

Let's back up to the beginning of the USA. Though the revolutionary war was won years earlier, it was on April 4, 1789 that the US first functioned as a country under its constitution. The electoral college meet at Federal Hall in the nation's capitol, New York City. George Washington was elected president, finalizing the placement of the full body of the government. The second paragraph of his inaugural address is all about our need as a nation to forever honor God as the US was a result of His blessing. Only Israel and the US were founded based on the idea that they were a nation established by God for His purposes.

After the speech, Washington led the leaders on foot to St. Paul's Chapel where the congress and president completed the first order of business for the new country. Gathered under a sycamore tree, Washington led the leadership in a prayer of thanksgiving and faith in God.

Fast forward to 9/11. Like the ruthless Syrians of Israel's day, terrorists attacked our country, slaughtering every person they possibly could. **The bricks fell**



St. Paul's Chapel, NYC

down. The property they attacked, ground zero, was part of the grounds of St. Paul's Chapel in 1789. Though several blocks from ground zero, the foundation of Federal Hall was cracked. St. Paul's Chapel was the only building bordering ground zero that

was unharmed. One piece of steel from the towers fell on the grounds but the sycamore tree that our founders prayed under prevented the piece from hitting the chapel. But, the **sycamore**



Sycamore Tree saves St. Paul's

was cut down. Three years later, the tree was replaced with a panachia tree, referred to in Isaiah 9:10 as a cedar (when translated to English).

Rebuild with smooth stones. A deeper look at the Hebrew work for "stone" reveals a stone carved from a mountain and finished smooth. On July 4, 2004 a 20-ton stone quarried from Adirondack Mountain granite was laid as a symbolic cornerstone for the new tower to be built at ground zero. Every speaker on that momentous day proclaimed how this stone represents the **rebuilding** of ground zero and America.



September 11, 2001 happens to be near the end of the Hebrew month of Elul, in a year of Shemitah. Shemitah occurs every seven years and is also known as a sabbatical year. In that year, fields were to be left fallow and debts were to be cancelled. It is a year of refreshment and new beginnings. When Judah was exiled to Babylon, it was for 70 years, the number of Shemitah years they had ignored over the past 490 years. Shemitah can result in removal of blessing. And God may use a different people to remove the blessing.

Seven years later, September 29, 2008, again at the end of a Shemitah year, the stock market tanked. Interestingly, the

Men's Breakfast at 8:00 AM
August 25, Sept. 29, Oct. 27 and the
Last Saturday of most months
Come to Way-
side Chapel at
the north end of
uptown for good
food and good fellowship. **All
men are invited.** No charge.
See you there!



number of God, 7, could be seen in the event. The markets dropped 777 points which was 7% of the total value.

Hmmm. We seem to be getting a mixed message here. A great passage of the Bible was quoted to tell the world that the USA would rebuild greater than ever, yet there seems to be an element of losing the blessing. We need to go back to Isaiah to see why. Those leaders quoting Isaiah (there were several) didn't realize that they were proclaiming judgment on the USA. God had sent in the Syrians to try to get Israel to return to Him. Isaiah 9:10 is God telling of Israel's arrogance in thinking they could recover without God. Shortly after God gave them a chance to repent and they refused, the northern kingdom of Israel was wiped off the face of the earth by the Assyrians.

We have little interest in seeing what happens at the "end" of the Myan calendar later this year. But what will be interesting is the end of September, 2015, in the next Shemitah. We do know this much, if we as a country act as Israel did and do not repent of our arrogance toward God, the future will not be pretty. Eventually, like Israel, we will completely lose the blessing. The US **NEEDS** to return to God, the true founder, **NOW.** CRM

QUOTE:

...it would be peculiarly improper to omit in this first official act my fervent supplications to that Almighty Being who rules over the universe, who presides in the councils of nations, and whose providential aids can supply every human defect, that His benediction may consecrate to the liberties and happiness of the people of the United States a Government instituted by themselves for these essential purposes, and may enable every instrument employed in its administration to execute with success the functions allotted to his charge. In tendering this homage to the Great Author of every public and private good, I assure myself that it expresses your sentiments not less than my own, nor those of my fellow-citizens at large less than either. No people can be bound to acknowledge and adore the Invisible Hand which conducts the affairs of men more than those of the United States. Every step by which they have advanced to the character of an independent nation seems to have been distinguished by some token of providential agency; and in the important revolution just accomplished in the system of their united government the tranquil deliberations and voluntary consent of so many distinct communities from which the event has resulted can not be compared with the means by which most governments have been established without some return of pious gratitude, along with an humble anticipation of the future blessings which the past seem to presage. These reflections, arising out of the present crisis, have forced themselves too strongly on my mind to be suppressed. You will join with me, I trust, in thinking that there are none under the influence of which the proceedings of a new and free government can more auspiciously commence.- George Washington Inaugural Address

For nothing is hidden that shall not become evident, nor anything secret that shall not be known and come to light. Jesus Christ - Luke 8:17