

# Creation News Update

Proclaiming the TRUTH of the Bible starting at Genesis 1:1

PRICE: Free  
VALUE: Eternal

Vol. 5, #3 - Summer 2008 (#22)

We must speak for silence would shame us, and the rocks themselves would cry out... You, O Lord Christ Jesus, must be praised for who You are in the world You have made.

Hello! The latest science is full of new findings that show Jesus is Creator of the universe, you and us. Thank you for joining us in learning the Good News.



Guy & Cindy Forsythe

You will find technical references for our articles at:

<http://www.CryingRocks.org>

Published Quarterly in Sedona, AZ by:

**CRYING  
ROCKS**  
*Ministry*

PO Box 2526  
Sedona, AZ 86339

928-282-2433

News@CryingRocks.org

If you would like to further the cause of our Lord Christ Jesus by supporting Crying Rocks Ministry, we invite you to send a donation of any size. Please send check or money order, not cash. All donations are tax-deductible, will be acknowledged, and a year end statement will be sent after the first of each year.

NON-PROFIT  
U.S. POSTAGE  
PAID  
SEDONA, AZ  
PERMIT NO. 201  
CAR-RT-SORT

Postal Customer

## Jesus is Calling The Body of Christ & Accountability

Recently a full-page ad appeared in the local newspaper. It started with “Jesus called, He wants His body back.” Several of the evangelical pastors in town printed a public apology for anything the church may have done to cause the disconnection of some members. Newer Christians and non-Christians may be wondering what this *Body of Christ* thing is all about.

The *body of Christ* is all those who believe calling on the name of Jesus


See **Body** on page 4

## Special Cosmology Issue!

*Cosmology is a complex and expansive topic. There simply is not room to cover it all in one small newsletter. We have had many pages worth of articles on problems with the Big Bang over the past 21 issues. We will review the idea of the Big Bang and some of the problems briefly. Pointing out weaknesses of one model does not prove another model is true, so we will outline the Creation Cosmology and give the supporting evidence so you know both views.*

### The Big Bang

The big bang is the dominate cosmology of today. There are actually several versions of the big bang and right now is a transition time. The dominate view is that the universe is finite but many are moving to the idea that the universe is infinite. The basics of the various big bang theories are much the same. Our purpose in this article is not to do a full description of the big bang. Google “big bang” and you will get explanations from very simple to fully mathematical in detail.



We do want to look at one basic assumption of the big bang. And we want to see why the assumption was made. We will not

See **Bang** on page 2

### The Creation Cosmology

The White Hole Cosmology (WHC) of Dr. Russell Humphreys is currently the leading cosmology of young-earth creationists. There are other proposals, but all of them are similar to the WHC. So, like the big bang, there are competing theories, but all of them have some very basic principles in common. One of the latest proposals is based on the work of well-published Moshe Carmeli. It is interesting to note that recent shows on the History Channel have stated that it is possible the universe started as a white hole.



A white hole is predicted as possible by Einstein's theory of relativity. A white hole is the exact opposite of a black hole. Instead of everything being sucked into the hole, all the stuff in the hole is ejected out into space. Like every cosmology, WHC is based on assumptions. After we describe the WHC, we will give evidence that it is a better cosmology than the big bang.

The universe was created by God (in the person Jesus specifically) as a black hole containing all the matter of the universe. As many cosmologists think happen, the black hole became a white hole. Matter ejected from the white hole became the stars and planets of the universe, made by God. This is our one untestable assumption, God created a

See **WHC** on page 2

**Bang** continued from p. 1 discuss the many problems of the big bang. You can go to our web site and get links to big bang explanations and to the many problems. In our article on the young-earth cosmology we will point out discoveries that support the creationist position. At the same time they tend to discredit the big bang.

Though it had been noticed many years earlier, Edward Hubble devoted his career to cataloging the movement of galaxies away from earth. Since galaxies in every direction are moving away from us (some are actually moving closer, but do not contradict any cosmology), Occam's Razor, which states one should make as few assumptions as possible in any explanation of a phenomenon, would

dictate that we conclude that the earth is in the center of the universe. Occam was quickly silenced.

**"... the delusion that we have some privileged position in the cosmos..."**

Carl Sagan *Pale Blue Dot*

**"Such a condition would imply that we occupy a unique position... But the unwelcome supposition of a favored location must be avoided at all costs... is intolerable..."**

Hubble. *The Observational Approach* 1937, pp. 50-59

**"However we are not able to make cosmological models without some admixture of ideology... we would not claim that our position in space is specially distinguished in any way. We shall, following Bondi(1960),**

**call this assumption the Copernican principle."**

*The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*, Stephen Hawking and George Ellis. Ellis is also quoted in *Scientific American*, 273(4):29: **I can construct you a spherically symmetrical universe with Earth at its center, and you cannot disprove it based on observations... You can only exclude it on philosophical grounds."**

That idea that the earth is not in the center of the universe is an assumption created because atheists cannot tolerate anything that makes it look like we might possibly be special. In our article on creationist cosmology, we will follow Occam's Razor. As it turns out, we are in the center. CRM

**WHC** continued from p. 1

mature universe starting with a black hole. (We will present evidence that supports this assumption later.) Galaxies were formed 4 days after the matter was first created and left the white hole.

We must now take a bit of a rabbit trail so you understand exactly what happens. Einstein's theory of relativity is foundational to most cosmologies, including the big bang and the WHC. We will not deal with relativity in depth, but just one aspect of relativity. The concept is called a gravity well.



Gravity Well caused by earth

The figure above illustrates a gravity well. Where the graph is bent downward is the well. Note that this bending actually occurs in the 4th dimension. We cannot see it directly, but we see it indirectly because it affects the 3 dimensions we can observe. The magenta dot might represent a satellite. The blue dot could be the moon. They orbit the earth because of this distortion of space in the 4th dimension. Gravity wells are what we call gravity.

But, it gets better. If you were to make our sun three times larger than it is and compress it to a tiny sphere, you would get a black hole. A black (or white) hole has a gravity



well with a difference. The difference is that the gravity has what is called an Event Horizon. In a black hole, once something crosses the event horizon, it cannot escape from the black hole. The Event Horizon also affects time.

Time beneath (or inside) the event horizon passes at a very different rate than above (or outside) the Event Horizon. In fact, at the very bottom of a white hole is what George Ellis call the Euclidean zone.

In the Euclidean zone, all motion and time stop. In the space between the Euclidean zone and the event horizon, time flows millions of times slower than outside the white hole event horizon. Thus, the earth was in the Euclidean Zone on day 4 of its existence, and the rest of the universe was being ejected from the white hole, 13.7 billion years could occur outside the event horizon while one day passed in the Euclidean

zone. This is exactly what young earth creation cosmologies claim happened. If you are thinking, "Yea, sure, right," we suggest you read p. 87 of Stephen Hawking's *A Brief History of Time* (1988) or page 88-90 (1998 edition).

Armed with knowledge of what happens in a black hole and white hole, here is a brief description of what happened from a creationist viewpoint. Items with a \* will later be explained:

**Day 1** - God created all matter (as molecules of water), energy and space. Time began. It starts in a black hole. The intense compression of the black hole rips the water into fundamental particles and causes thermonuclear fusion to start, creating light.

**Day 2** - God starts stretching out space which has the effect of changing the black hole into a white hole. The universe will be bigger than the sphere shaped volume of matter. Matter is divided into two groups. Earth and everything else. The two groups are separated by an expanse. Creating the expanse cools the matter and the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation (CMB) comes into being\*.

**Day 3** - Rapid radiometric decay occurs possibly as a result of the rapid expansion of space that is occurring. The earth is formed and vegetation appears. The matter that is the rest of the universe reaches the event horizon. The earth is

in the Euclidean zone deep in the white hole. Matter is starting to cross the event horizon. The expanding universe cools the matter to form hydrogen, helium and other elements.

**Day 4** - As the matter is formed into stars, planets and moons, the atoms are polarized, creating a strong magnet composed of every atom making the body. This alignment immediately decays creating magnetic fields in each of these objects\*. 24 hours pass on earth as 13.7 billion years passes outside the event horizon. The first matter to pass the event horizon is oldest with the last to pass youngest in earth time.

**Day 5 & 6** - God makes the animals and man.

The supporting evidence comes from scholarly secular research. We will start at the cosmological scale and work our way to earth.

**1** The Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation (CMB) is throughout space and not coming from across the universe. Secular scientists predicted that shadows of galaxies would be found in the CMB. They are absent. This fits with WHC.

**2** The universe is rotating. The explanation is lengthy, so we have a link on our web site. (According to the big bang, the universe canNOT rotate)

**3** All galaxies, no matter how soon they seem to be after the supposed big bang, are fully mature. Entire galaxy clusters have been discovered that should not exist because there should not have been enough time for them to form. We see no indication of galaxies forming, every galaxy ever discovered is fully mature.

**4** There is no scientific explanation for star formation. Second or third generation stars are extremely unlikely but theoretically possible. The first stars could never form according to the natural laws of physics.

**5** First generation stars should have no heavy elements. No stars have been discovered that lack heavy elements. We should be able to detect first generation stars if they ever existed.

**6** Neptune rings are disappearing. *New Scientist* reports that scientists can't understand how they could be disappearing at a rate so fast they will be gone in 100 years. The reason is because the rings are young and have been disappearing at this rate for a short time.

**7** Titan, a moon of Saturn, has an atmosphere containing methane. Methane escapes the moon at a rapid rate, so rapid that after 10,000 years, all the methane should have left the moon. Titan has to be under 10,000 years old.

**8** The rings of Saturn sparkle with color due to an ice coating on the dust particles, but according to NASA, that ice would be gone after 100,000 years. The rings must therefore be less than 100,000 years old.

**9** The earth's magnetic field is decaying at the rate of about 3% per century. In 1971 creationist Thomas G. Barnes noted that the field's main part had lost 15% of its strength in 150 years of measurements. At that rate the magnetic field had to be less than 10,000 years old. Geologist G. Brent Dalrymple published a paper in 1983 giving the reason this was happening and why the field was not declining rapidly. The explanation was somewhat unlikely, but reasonable. The field was reversing and the missing energy was actually in what are called non-dipoles which simply means that these were fields that are not part of the main field and the "lost" energy was creating those non-dipoles. By 2000 the main field and non-dipoles had been fully measured. Dalrymple loses. Though some of the energy is going to non-dipoles, not much of it is doing so. The rest is simply loss of strength. The half life of the earth's magnetic field is 1465 +/- 166 years. This very high half life proves the field is young and will be gone not all that far in the future.

Humphreys' theory (see **Day 4**) on formation of magnetic fields has great support and the idea of the magnetic field being billions of years old is falsified. Let's compare Humphreys' predictions and NASA's predictions of magnetic fields. It's one thing to think something happened 8 billion light years away, another to make predictions we can test

**Men's Breakfast Saturday,**  
**August 30<sup>th</sup> at 8:00 AM**  
**Note** And the **LAST** Saturday Morning every month

Come to Wayside Chapel at the north end of uptown for good food and good fellowship. **ALL men are invited. No charge. See you there!**



with direct measurements.

**Sun** - NASA and Humphreys both fit the facts.

**Mercury** - NASA said Mercury would not have a magnetic field at the present. Humphreys predicted it does. Humphreys was right. In addition, he predicted how much it should have declined from the first time we measured the field in 1975 and the second time this past Spring. Humphreys nailed it. NASA didn't try.

**Venus, Jupiter & Saturn** - NASA and Humphreys both fit the facts.

**Our Moon** - NASA predicted there was never a field. Humphreys predicted that moon rocks would demonstrate the moon had a magnetic field in the past. Humphreys was correct.

**Mars** - NASA made no predictions. They simply had no idea. Humphreys predicted it had a strong field in the past. The Mars Global Surveyor measured no field at the present, but strong residual magnetism in the crust. Humphreys nails it again.

**Uranus & Neptune** - NASA and Humphreys both made a prediction of the magnetic fields of these two planets. Because nobody knows the exact composition of the planets, both predictions were a range that could still be off a bit. Humphreys missed by 3%. NASA was 100,000 times too low.

See our web site for a link to Humphreys explanation of the Pioneer space craft anomalies and how WHC explains it and NASA can't.

There is more evidence the earth is 6000 years old and at the center of the universe. See our web site. **CRM**

## Body continued from p. 1

and asking Him to forgive our sins is the only way to be forgiven and gain eternity in heaven. All of these individuals joined together are the body of Christ.

It is much like the motto of the United States, *E Pluribus Unum* which means **Out of the many, one**. Together, all Christians are one body. There is great diversity in the body of Christ just as there is great diversity in the United States. That diversity is the strength of the body.

In I Corinthians 12:12-30 Paul explains this concept of the body. Some selected phrases in this passage include: *...though they are many, are one body...For the body is not one member, but many...If the foot should say, "Because I am not a hand, I am not a part of the body," it is not for this reason any less a part of the body...If the whole body were an eye, where would the hearing be?...And the eye cannot say to the hand, "I have no need of you"...that there should be no division in the body, but that the members should have the same care for one another.* This last phrase is of utmost importance. No division. Care for one another.

The body is called to be together. If the hand should decide it doesn't need the rest of the body, not only is

the hand separated from Christ's body, Christ's body is missing a hand. In other words, the church needs every Christian to be in church and every individual Christian needs to be in church. For if the individual is not going to church, how can s/he be part of the body? S/he can't.

**So, did Jesus call? YES.** And he is still calling and will continue to call. He is calling Christians to worship together: Psalm 111:1 - **Praise the LORD! I will give thanks to the LORD with all my heart, In the company of the upright and in the assembly.** He is calling Christians to pray together: Acts 4:31a - **And when they had prayed, the place where they had gathered together was shaken, and they were all filled with the Holy Spirit.** It appears that when the church prays together, the Spirit comes! Finally, He is calling Christians to inspire each other: Hebrews 10:24-25: **...and let us consider how to stimulate one another to love and good deeds, not forsaking our own assembling together, as is the habit of some, but encouraging one another, and all the more, as you see the day drawing near.** This final verse is key. How can we encourage each other, how can we help each other, if we do not assemble together?

What does such an assembly look like? It is a group of Christians meeting together on a regular basis.

If you don't want to join an existing structured church, start a home church of a dozen members. The more members, the more parts of the body, the more Christians can help each other.

### Accountability

Hebrews 10:24 states **and let us consider how to stimulate one another to love and good deeds.** Christian or not, there are some struggles we have at a deeply personal level that we need help with, no matter how "good" we may perceive ourselves to be. The solution is a **personal accountability partner**. When I asked Ron to be my accountability partner, I didn't know Ron all that well but I saw he was direct, pulled no punches and was seeking to draw closer to God. Ron and I share our skeletons, teach each other, and hold each other to the task of loving and caring for our family and fellow man. If this is something you think you need, ask someone (same gender) to be your accountability partner. Christian or not, we all need this. If you aren't sure how to get started, come see Ron and Guy at Wildflower Bread Company at the north end of The Shops at Piñon Point at the Y at 6:00AM on almost any Thursday morning. Buy a cup of coffee and/or breakfast and join us. We'll explain how to get started in this accountability thing. You'll be glad you did. CRM

### QUOTES:

Scientists were particularly proud that they had developed a theory of the universe that no longer relied on invoking God. (p. 79) - The British physicist William Bonner, for example, suggested that the Big Bang theory was part of a conspiracy aimed to shoring up Christianity: "The underlying motive is, of course, to bring in God as creator. It seems like the opportunity Christian theology has been waiting for ever since science began to depose religion from the minds of rational men in the seventeenth century." (p.361) From *Big Bang* by Simon Singh (2004)

### THE POINT:

The battle currently occurring in science between the evolutionists (in the general sense of big bang to goo to the zoo to you) is really all about world view. The materialist, the atheists, have acquired the leadership positions in science and so only their view is permitted for funding and print. There are many secular scientists who have grown dissatisfied with the big bang (see link on our home page). The big bang has two assumptions at the foundation. One has been tested and verified. The other is untestable and therefore unfalsifiable and unverifiable. That does not mean it is incorrect, but the evidence is building against it. We discuss this in our articles on cosmology in this issue. That second assumption is made to deny the obvious. Our galaxy really is at the center of the universe. The details are inside this newsletter. The founders of the big bang could not tolerate the idea that the earth is in the center of universe. This is curious. There is a lot that is unique to the earth, very highly improbable things about our planet that many cosmologists admit may make earth the only location of life in the universe. But for some reason, the idea it is in the center is just too much to bear even though it could just be another highly improbable characteristic. The big bang does away with this centering, but the other highly improbable characteristics are undeniable.