

Creation News Update

Proclaiming the TRUTH of the Bible starting at Genesis 1:1

PRICE: Free
VALUE: Eternal

Vol. 4, #3 - Summer 2007 (#18)

We must speak for silence would shame us, and the rocks themselves would cry out... You, O Lord Christ Jesus, must be praised for who You are in the world You have made.

Can We Live with "Personal" Truth?


We received an email from a reader after the last issue. She is an Atheist. The gist of the letter is that we can all have a personal truth. My truth is not necessarily your truth and your truth is not necessarily my truth. In the post-modern train of thought there is only one absolute statement regarding truth: **There is no absolute truth.** Of course, the phrase contradicts itself because it is an absolute statement which says there is no absolute. Let's look

See **Truth** on page 2

Evolution Assumptions and Science

Mutations are the key to evolution. It is believed by evolutionists that mutations are what caused a dinosaur to become a bird. Many assumptions were made regarding mutations. These assumptions are critical for evolution to occur. We will take a look at those assumptions, see what recent discoveries

have shown and what effect the discoveries



See **Mutations** on page 4

Is Intelligent Design Good Science?

The complaint often shows in the media that Intelligent Design (ID) is not science because it is not testable or falsifiable. In the early days of ID that was true. But like all good theories, as time passes, scientists refine the area of study.

ID has been quantified and can be tested at any time with repeatable experiments. Since the media and general circulation science magazines prefer to repeat the past rather than look at what is true today in ID,

See **ID** on page 2

Organic Chemicals

An organic compound is a molecule that contains primarily carbon and hydrogen. They are called organic because life is composed of these compounds. The problem is that organic compounds are not perfectly stable. Life requires that we be made of somewhat unstable compounds so that repairs can be made and energy taken in and used. Once creatures die, they begin to decompose. Those organic chemicals fall apart and there is no longer a repair mechanism at work. The June, 2002 issue of *Biochemist* explains how long we can expect organic tissue to last after death. The only preservation possible is low temperature. Even if you cooled tissue and DNA to ground temperature of 50° F, they will break down into dust in just 180,000 years. Many fossils are now found with soft tissue and/or blood cell in tact, like dinosaurs, supposedly dead for millions of years. They have to have died less than 180,000 years ago, *much* less!

Science News to Learn By

New Ben Stein Movie



Ben Stein has a new movie scheduled for release in February, 2008. We have a link to complete information on the home page of our redesigned web site. It is called "Expelled." It promises to be a topic of

conversation!

New Web Site

We have totally redone our web site and are adding content that is find hard elsewhere such as responses to claims that evolution

See **News** on page 3



Hello! The latest science is full of new findings that show Jesus is Creator of the universe, you and us. Thank you for joining us in learning the Good News.



Guy & Cindy Forsythe

You will find technical references for our articles at **Our Totally Redesigned web site:**
<http://www.CryingRocks.org>

Published Quarterly in Sedona, AZ by:

**CRYING
ROCKS**
Ministry

PO Box 2526
Sedona, AZ 86339

928-282-2433

News@CryingRocks.org

If you would like to further the cause of our Lord Christ Jesus by supporting Crying Rocks Ministry, we invite you to send a donation of any size. Please send check or money order, not cash. All donations are tax-deductible, will be acknowledged, and a year end statement will be sent after the first of each year.

Postal Customer

NON-PROFIT
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
SEDONA, AZ
PERMIT NO. 201
CAR-RT-SORT

Truth continued from p. 1

at a couple of scenarios and see if we can really live with your truth being different from my truth, usually referred to as relative truth.

First we must recognize that life is not an illusion. There is just one reality and it is a real, physical reality. Our individual beings reside inside a physical home during our time on earth. If, in my world, I drop a brick on your foot without you knowing it, it is also your reality as you will feel the effect of that dropped brick on your foot when it lands on your foot. We will both agree that it is truth that I dropped a brick on your foot.

Beyond that simple situation, can we really live in a world of different truths? Let's say you and I work for the same boss. There are three of us in the company. The boss, you and me. We work in the same room and have exactly the same job descriptions, basically sharing the same work of answering the phone, taking orders and answering questions. We are both paid \$15.00 per

hour for our work.

One day you notice that I am not doing as much work as you. I let you answer the phone every time unless you are already on the phone. I am running personal errands for the boss, dumping more work on you. I am taking longer breaks. We are no longer doing the same work. The boss seems to be favoring me.

Today, the boss announced that I deserve a raise and the only way to pay for it is to lower your pay. I now make \$20.00 per hour and you make \$10.00 per hour. It is my truth that I like this. I think this is a fair situation (I like the raise, who wouldn't?). Truth for the boss is that he likes me and wants to pay me better. Your truth? This is NOT fair! It is unjust! That is your truth.

Under a belief in relative truth, there is no injustice here. It is perfectly fair. The boss and I are living our personal truth perfectly. In fact, in a world of relative truth, Hitler did nothing wrong. How can that be? Because, in a world of

relative, personal truth, there is no right or wrong, no good or evil. Human beings **cannot** live in this world I have described. We **need justice and fairness**. Though not all situations in our experience are just or fair, we try to come as close as we can. We want laws and courts that enforce justice and fairness.

But, how do we establish what is right and wrong, good and evil, just and fair? The example of Hitler shows us we cannot depend on man for the definitions. Materialism, which is now the foundation of our society, cannot supply what we need.

Jesus is the answer. In the Bible, Jesus defines for us what is good and evil. In pure love, He assures us there is justice. That we, our lives, will be judged. But, in God's eye, we all fall short of the mark of being perfectly good and just. In infinite love, Jesus paid the penalty of our evil by living the only perfectly good and just life ever lived and then paying the price justice demands you and I pay.... Death... In love, He paid for us so that we do not have to pay the price we owe. CRM

ID continued from p. 1

we will explain how ID is real science.

The beginning concept of ID as science was Stephen Meyer's **Specified Complexity**. This concept says that when we find a complex structure, for example a rock face, and we also recognize a common appearance, such as Mount Rushmore (a rock face carved into the likeness of four presidents of the USA), we can rationally say, we have found intelligent design. We can look at DNA and see letters, words, sentences, chapters and so on. It appears to be designed. The letters are not random, they spell words which make sentences, etc. Both ideas make sense that they are designed, but suffer from a problem: How does the concept lend itself to a repeatable experiment? It doesn't. Science, but not *good* science.

Early this century, William Dembski quantified the concept, calling it the **Explanatory Filter**. Here is the filter. Think of Mt. Rushmore or the structure of DNA as you think about the filter:

1 Does a *natural law* explain the situation? Does, for example the law of gravity explain what you observe? Solid *theories* also apply. Does the theory of relativity explain the observation. If natural law explains the situation, it is NOT designed. If natural laws do not explain the observation then...

2 What is the probability that the observation could occur randomly? If the probability of the observation occurring by chance is better than 1 chance in 10^{150} (1 followed by 150 zeros!), then chance may be able to explain it. This step is very conservative and can result in what is known as a **false negative**. That means that something that IS designed could be called natural by this step. But, **false positives** are not allowed (this is how ID can be falsified). In other words, if something we know is natural is determined to be designed by this step, the concept of ID is falsified. Mt. Rushmore and DNA have a probability of occurring naturally of less than 10^{150} . For example, Sir Fred Hoyle, the famous cosmologist, once calculated

that the probability of a simple cell forming from non-living material is 1 in 10^{240} . According to the filter, a simple cell would have to be designed by intelligence.

NOW, we have something that is testable and falsifiable in repeatable observations. **GOOD** science!

Some evolutionists still claim ID is not science because it is untestable and unfalsifiable. Some say they have falsified ID (they haven't). The fact that evolutionists are trying to falsify ID indicates it is considered good science.

Here is the real hoot of the matter: HEvolution (dinosaur to bird style, not natural selection) is UNtestable and UNfalsifiable. There is **NOT ONE** repeatable experiment to test evolution. To see evolution, one must first **assume** the untestable, unfalsifiable view that evolution is true and possible (recent DNA research shows it is impossible). That is NOT science. It is a religious belief system devised to deny our Creator, Jesus, the Christ of God. CRM

News continued from p. 1

has been tested and passes the test.

Function of the Appendix

100 years ago, evolutionists proclaimed there were over 100 organs in the human body that are useless leftovers of evolution. We now know we would die in seconds or minutes without most of those 100 organs. Some organs are not required for us to live but they still help things work better. The function of the appendix has been difficult to determine. The function has now been discovered.

When a person has food poisoning or intestinal flu or other maladies of the intestines, the body flushes out the intestines and we spend miserable hours in the bathroom. In the intestines are e-coli and other bacteria that we normally think as harmful, but are essential in the digestion process. When our bodies flush the intestines, those essential bacteria are flushed out of our bodies. When the flushing stops, we need to restart the colonies of bacteria. We now know that the appendix stores a small supply of those bacteria so recolonization can start immediately. It turns out the appendix has a rather important function for our recovery from disease and food poisoning.

Why Oil found in Pools

We have long been told that it took millions of years for oil to form in the ground. Now we have examples of oil forming quickly. S. P. Petukhov (2004) and others have indicated that there is problem with long time scales in oil

production. The rock around oil deposits is permeable. Oil forms under pressure and that pressure would push the oil through the rock. If the oil first formed millions of years ago, there would be no pools of oil. The oil would be spread nearly equally throughout the rock of the earth. There would be no pools of oil and there would be no pressure. This explains why radiometric carbon dating (C^{14} dating) shows that oil and natural gas are less than 50,000 years old. Once again, old earth assumptions are found to be false and the evidence shows the earth is just thousands of years old.

Dino Bones Tested for C-14

Dinosaur bones have finally been tested for Carbon-14 (C^{14}) content. C^{14} dating is a radiometric dating system for items that were alive at one time less than 200,000 years ago. The dinosaur bones dated to 9,890 and 25,750 years. This is a lot younger than the supposed 65 million years since they went extinct taught in textbooks. Real science continues to show the earth is young.

More Dino Info

Many people think that all fossils are actually minerals that filled a void where the bone once was buried in the earth. This makes sense. After all, bones turn to dust just like tissue, though it can take a few years longer. It makes sense that what is found in the ground which is supposedly millions of years old could only be mineral castings made by nature. Many are, but the Chinese apparently don't know that. *National Geographic* reports that the Chinese have been grinding up real dinosaur bones for 2500

**Men's Breakfast Saturday,
December 1st (07) at 8:00 AM**

And the first Saturday Morning every month

Come to Wayside Chapel at the north end of uptown for good food and good fellowship. All men are invited. No charge. See you there!



years for use in medicines.

Pioneer Anomaly

The Pioneer 10 & 11 spacecraft were launched in the early 1970's. By 1980, scientists noticed that radar measurements of where the spacecraft actually were and where calculations show they should be differed (yes, they used radar to track the satellites long after they passed Pluto). In 1998, a study was published about the anomaly. Some cosmologists said it can't be explained, others came up with crazy new theories. The data fits perfectly with young earth cosmology simply using Einstein's theory of relativity.

Jellyfish

Pity the poor, primitive jellyfish. They appear early in the fossil record, one of the most primitive creatures alive today or in the past. What a minute... it turns out they have multiple sets of eyes, including eyes similar to human eyes. All these different kinds of eyes work in concert to give the jellyfish one of the most detailed views of their world of any creature that has ever lived. CRM

QUOTES:

Information is information, neither matter nor energy. No materialism that fails to take account of this can survive the present day. — Norbert Weiner, MIT Mathematician and Father of Cybernetics

THE POINT:

Evolutionists continue to claim that information can come from matter and energy. It cannot. Information theory dictates that information can only come from an intelligent source. Common sense says the same. Do evolutionists really think information can come from non-intelligent sources. **NO!** The Search for ExtraTerrestrial Intelligence (**SETI**) spends millions of our tax dollars every year scanning the universe looking for a simple message, basically anything a little more ordered than static. Any such message, such as a series of beeps that count out a few prime numbers, would have to have an intelligent source. Yet those same evolutionists claim that DNA, the most sophisticated information system known to man, did not come from intelligence, that is, God. How can evolutionists have such a double standard? The problem that evolutionists have is religious, not scientific. They want to be their own god. They aren't, and no amount of irrational thought can change that. Jesus is Creator God. It's all about Him.

Mutation continued from p. 1

have on the idea of evolution via mutations.

On the chart below you will see that the assumptions made by

evolutionists are exactly opposite of what scientists have discovered about DNA and mutations. As you read articles by Richard Dawkins and other evolutionists/atheists, you will find that they still assume that which has been

shown to be incorrect. Examples they give to support evolutions are always examples of natural selection, which was first described by Edward Blythe, a young earth creationist, 25 years before *Origin of the Species*. CRM

Evolutionist Prediction	Scientific Discovery	Implications for Evolutions Theory
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • About 3% of all DNA is actually used by a cell. The rest is left over evolutionary junk. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 97% of DNA is coded by a cell. The remaining 3% is start signs, stop signs and other indicators. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no junk DNA. It is all used by every cell. It was thought by evolutionists that mutations led to new strings of DNA and old ones stopped being used. But all the DNA is used. What evolutionist ignore is that there has to be an amazing control system in the cell. Control systems are information sources. Information only comes from intelligence.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One segment of DNA is used to create one specific protein. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Segments of DNA are used in many different combinations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An <i>exon</i> is a segment of a gene that evolutionist thought were actually used (that is transcribed into RNA to then make a protein). But inter-spaced were <i>introns</i>, which are “junk” DNA whose information was removed from any string of RNA to be transcribed. Research has shown that exons are actually segments that may be a part of up to 33 different genes on 14 different chromosomes. In other words, they are subassemblies used in many ways to make many different proteins. Combined with the next item...
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DNA is a double strand of information, but only one of the two is actually used. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BOTH strands of DNA are used (transcribed) making different proteins from each side. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Now we run into a problem with mutations. A mutation on one side of the DNA results in an mutation of the other side. For the mutation to be beneficial, it must be beneficial on both strands. Now multiply that by the fact that one mutation may also have to be beneficial in up to 33 different genes on 14 different chromosomes and you have an impossibility. It is an established fact that mutations are ALL a loss of information, it now looks like one mutation results in the loss of information in up to 66 different genes at once.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When DNA is transcribed into RNA to make a protein, the transcription starts at one specific point and proceeds to another specific point. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In any given DNA sequence, there are multiple start and stop points resulting in many different proteins being built from one given sequence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Once again the effect of a mutation is multiplied, but this time in a different way. Not yet mentioned is that the DNA is transcribed in the complex fashion described in BOTH directions! DNA is letters that make words that make sentences that make paragraphs, that make chapters of information. Evolutionists assumed that one mutation resulted in one letter change in one word in one sentence, etc. Research shows that one mutation results a letter change in many words in many sentences. Try thinking of a word that you can use in many different sentences. Make sure the word is also a word when the letters are in reverse order. Now make sure that each sentence also makes a sentence when all the letters are reversed. Now change any word. Does the new word make sense in all sentences involved? Impossible! (except for an infinite person who thinks logically and infinitely... Jesus)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Junk DNA is an accurate clock. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no junk DNA. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All computations made regarding how long it took for one kind of creature to become another are meaningless.

One author concluded: “An interleaved genomic organization poses important mechanistic challenges for the cell. One involves the [use of] the same DNA molecules for multiple functions. The overlap of functionally important sequence motifs must be resolved in time and space for this organization to work properly. Another challenge is the need to compartmentalize RNA or mask RNAs that could potentially form long double-stranded regions, to prevent RNA-RNA interactions that could prompt apoptosis [programmed cell death].” He is saying that matter alone cannot account for the functioning of a cell. There has to be a control system. This is a *major understatement*. + Every assumption evolutionists have made (and still dishonestly use as evidence for evolution) is **WRONG**. Every fact we know about evolution confirms that **ALL** mutations are harmful to an organism. Fortunately for us, most of the harmful effects are small or unnoticed, but over 2000 are now called diseases.

Information from: Birney, E., et. al., Identification and analysis of functional elements in 1% of the human genome by the ENCODE pilot project, *Nature* 447: 799–816, 2007.

And Philipp Kapranov, P., Willingham, A.T. and Gingeras, T.R., Genome-wide transcription and the implications for genomic organization, *Nature Reviews Genetics* 8: 413–423, 2007

For nothing is hidden that shall not become evident, nor anything secret that shall not be known and come to light. Jesus Christ - Luke 8:17